

Guidelines for preventing infections associated with the insertion and maintenance of short-term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care

These guidelines focus on providing evidence-based recommendations for preventing hospital-acquired infections associated with the use of short-to-medium term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care settings. These recommendations are not detailed procedural protocols and need to be incorporated into local guidelines. The recommendations are divided into four distinct interventions:

- 1. Assessing the need for catheterisation;
- 2. Selection of catheter type;
- 3. Aseptic catheter insertion:
- 4. Catheter maintenance.

Intervention I

Assessing the Need for Catheterisation

Catheterising patients places them in significant danger of acquiring a urinary tract infection. The longer a catheter is in place, the greater the danger

There is consistent evidence that a significant number of hospital-acquired infections are related to urinary catheterisation.¹⁻⁴ The risk of infection is associated with the method and duration of catheterisation, the quality of catheter care and host susceptibility. The prevalence of catheterised patients in hospitals in England is 12.6 percent.⁵ The highest incidence of infection is associated with indwelling urethral catheterisation.⁶ Many of these infections are serious and lead to significant morbidity. Between 20 and 30 percent of catheterised patients develop bacteriuria, of whom 2-6 percent develop symptoms of urinary tract infection (UTI).⁶ The risk of acquiring bacteriuria is approximately 5 percent for each day of catheterisation.^{7,8} Of patients with a UTI, 1-4 percent develops bacteraemia and, of these, 13-30 percent die.^{1,2} Duration of catheterisation is strongly associated with risk of infection, i.e., the longer the catheter is in place, the higher the incidence of urinary tract infection.⁶

Advice from best practice emphasises the importance of documenting all procedures involving the catheter or drainage system in the patient's records¹ and providing patients with adequate information in relation to the need, insertion, maintenance and removal of their catheter.¹

1. Only use indwelling urethral catheters after considering alternative methods of management. Category 3 2. Review regularly the patient's clinical need for continuing urinary catheterisation and

- remove the catheter as soon as possible. **Category 3 Category 3**
- 3. Document catheter insertion and care.

- 1. Ward V, Wilson J, Taylor L, Cookson B, Glynn A. Preventing Hospital-Acquired Infection: Clinical Guidelines. London: Public Health Laboratory Service; 1997; 25-29.
- 2. Wong ES, Hooton TM. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. American Journal of Infection Control 1983; 11(1); 28-36.

- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 169–174.
- Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management 5th ed. Baltimore MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 241-242.
- 5. Crow R, Mulhall A, Chapman R. Indwelling catheterisation and related nursing practice. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 1988; **13**: 489–495.
- 6. Stamm WE. Urinary Tract Infections. In: Bennett JV, Brachman PS (eds). *Hospital Infection* 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998; 477–485.
- Garibaldi RA, Burke JP, Britt MR, Miller WA, Smith CB. Meatal colonisation and catheter associated bacteriuria. New England Journal of Medicine 1980; 303: 316–318.
- 8. Garibaldi RA, Mooney BR, Epstein BJ, Britt MR. An evaluation of daily bacteriologic monitoring to identify preventable episodes of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. *Infection Control* 1982; **3**: 466.

Intervention 2

Selection of Catheter Type

Is one catheter better than another?

Our systematic review¹ identified three experimental studies that compared the use of latex with silicone catheters.²⁻⁴ No significant difference in the incidence of bacteriuria was found. Four studies compared the use of silver coated (silver alloy or silver oxide) catheters with silicone, hydrogel or Teflon latex.⁵⁻⁸ A systematic review and meta-analysis of these and other studies found that silver alloy (but not silver oxide) catheters were associated with a lower incidence of bacteriuria.^{9,10} However, silver alloy coated indwelling urethral catheters are not currently available in the UK.

Evidence from best practice indicates that the incidence of catheter-associated infection in the short term is not influenced by any particular type of catheter material.^{11,12} However, many practitioners have strong preferences for one type of catheter over another. This preference is often based on clinical experience, patient assessment, and which materials induce the least allergic response. Smaller gauge catheters with a 10 ml balloon minimise urethral trauma, mucosal irritation and residual urine in the bladder, all factors that predispose to catheter-associated infection.¹³

- 4. Choice of catheter material will depend on clinical experience, patient assessment and anticipated duration of catheterisation. Category 3
- 5. Select the smallest gauge catheter that will allow free urinary outflow. A catheter with a 10 ml balloon should be used. Urological patients may require larger gauge sizes and balloons. Category 3

- 1. Pratt RJ, Pellowe C, Loveday HP, Robinson N. epic phase 1: The Development of National Evidence-based Guidelines for Preventing Hospital-acquired Infections in England – associated with the use of short-term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care: Technical Report. London: Thames Valley University; 2000; 86. Available from (Internet): http://www.epic.tvu.ac.uk
- Lopez-Lopez G, Pascual A, Martinez-Martinez L, Perea EJ. Effect of a siliconized latex urinary catheter on bacterial adherence and human neutrophil activity. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 1991; 14: 1–6.
- 3. Nickel JC, Feero P, Costerton JW, Wilson E. Incidence and importance of bacteriuria in postoperative short-term urinary catheterisation. *Canadian Journal of Surgery* 1989; **32**: 131–132.
- Talja M, Korpela A, Jarvi K. Comparison of urethral reaction to full silicone, hydrogen-coated and siliconised latex catheters. *British Journal of Urology* 1990; 66: 652–657.
- Riley DK, Classen DC, Stevens LE, Burke JP. A large randomised clinical trial of a silver-impregnated urinary catheter: lack of efficacy and staphylococcal superinfection. *American Journal of Medicine* 1995; 98: 349–356.
- Johnson JR, Roberts PL, Olsen RJ, Moyer KA, Stamm WE. Prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infection with a silver oxide-coated urinary catheter: clinical and microbiologic correlates. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1990; 162: 1145–1150.
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T, Ekman P. Refinements in the coating of urethral catheters reduces the incidence of catheter-associated bacteriuria. An experimental and clinical study. *European Urology* 1990; 17: 236–240.
- Liedberg H, Lundeberg T. Silver alloy coated catheters reduce catheter-associated bacteriuria. British Journal of Urology 1990; 65: 379–381.
- 9. Saint S, Lipsky BA. Preventing catheter-related bacteriuria. Should we? Can we? How? Archives of Internal Medicine 1999; 159: 800-808.
- Saint S, Elmore JG, Sullivan SD, Emerson SS, Koepsell TD. The efficacy of silver alloy-coated urinary catheters in preventing urinary tract infection: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Medicine* 1998; 105: 236–241.
- 11. Pomfret IJ. Continence clinic. Catheters: design, selection and management. British Journal of Nursing 1996; 5: 245-251.
- Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management 5th ed. Baltimore MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 260-261.
- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 169–174.

Aseptic Catheter Insertion

Intervention 3

Catheterisation is a skilled aseptic procedure

Principles of good practice, clinical guidance^{1,2} and expert opinion^{3–7} agree that urinary catheters must be inserted using sterile equipment and an aseptic technique. Expert opinion indicates that there is no advantage in using antiseptic preparations for cleansing the urethral meatus prior to catheter insertion.^{1,8} Urethral trauma and discomfort will be minimised by using an appropriate sterile, single-use lubricant or anaesthetic gel. Ensuring healthcare practitioners are trained and competent in the insertion of urinary catheters will minimise trauma, discomfort and the potential for catheter-associated infection.^{1,3,7,9}

- 6. Catheterisation is an aseptic procedure. Ensure that health care personnel are trained and competent to carry out urethral catheterisation. Category 3
- 7. Clean the urethral meatus prior to the insertion of the catheter. Category 3
- 8. Use an appropriate lubricant from a single use container to minimise urethral trauma and infection. Category 3

- 1. Ward V, Wilson J, Taylor L, Cookson B, Glynn A. Preventing Hospital-Acquired Infection: Clinical Guidelines. London: Public Health Laboratory Service 1997; 25–29.
- 2. Wong ES, Hooton TM. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. American Journal of Infection Control 1983; **11(1)**: 28–36.
- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 169–174.
- 4. Stamm WE. Urinary Tract Infections. In: Bennett JV, Brachman PS (eds). *Hospital Infection* 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998; 477–485.
- 5. Kass EH, Schneiderman LJ. Entry of bacteria into the urinary tract of patients with inlying catheters. New England Journal of Medicine 1957; 256: 556-557.
- Desautels RF, Walter CW, Graves RC, Harrison JH. Technical advances in the prevention of urinary tract infection. *Journal of Urology* 1962; 87: 487–490.
- Kunin CM, McCormack RC. Prevention of catheter-induced urinary tract infections by sterile closed drainage. New England Journal of Medicine 1966; 274: 1155–1162.
- Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management 5th ed. Baltimore MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 249–250.
- 9. Garibaldi RA, Burke JP, Dickman ML, Smith CB. Factors predisposing to bacteriuria during indwelling urethral catheterisation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1974; **291**: 215–218.

Intervention 4

Leave the closed system alone!

Maintaining a sterile, continuously closed urinary drainage system is central to the prevention of catheterassociated infection.¹⁻⁶ The risk reduces from 97 percent with an open system to 8–15 percent when a sterile closed system is employed.⁷⁻⁹ Breaches in the closed system, such as unnecessary emptying of the urinary drainage bag or taking a urine sample, will increase the risk of catheter-related infection and should be avoided.^{4,9,10} Hands must be decontaminated and clean, non-sterile gloves worn before manipulation.

There is no evidence as to how often catheters should be changed. Best practice suggests changing only when necessary, i.e., according to either the manufacturers recommendations or the patient's clinical need.^{4,6} Reflux of urine is associated with infection and, consequently, drainage bags should be positioned in a way that prevents back-flow of urine.^{4,5} It is also recommended that urinary drainage bags should be hung on an appropriate stand that prevents contact with the floor.⁹

A number of studies have investigated the addition of disinfectants and antimicrobials to drainage bags as a way of preventing catheter-associated infection.¹¹ Three acceptable studies^{12–14} from our systematic review¹⁵ demonstrated no reduction in the incidence of bacteriuria following the addition of hydrogen peroxide or chlorhexidine to urinary drainage bags. Urinary drainage bags should be changed when clinically indicated and/or in line with the manufacturer's recommendations.

9. Connect indwelling urethral catheters to a sterile closed urinary drainage system.

Category 3

Category 3

- 10. Ensure that the connection between the catheter and the urinary drainage system is not broken except for good clinical reasons, e.g., changing the bag in line with manufacturer's recommendation. Category 3
- 11. Decontaminate hands and wear a new pair of clean, non-sterile gloves before manipulating a patient's catheter and decontaminate hands after removing gloves. Category 3

12. Obtain urine samples from a sampling port using an aseptic technique. Category 3

- 13. Position urinary drainage bags below the level of the bladder on a stand that prevents contact with the floor. Where such drainage cannot be maintained, e.g., during moving and handling, clamp the urinary drainage bag tube and remove the clamp as soon as dependent drainage can be resumed. Category 3
- 14. Empty the urinary drainage bag frequently enough to maintain urine flow and prevent reflux. Use a separate and clean container for each patient and avoid contact between the urinary drainage tap and container. Category 3
- 15. Do not add antiseptic or antimicrobial solutions into urinary drainage bags. Category 1

16. Do not change catheters unnecessarily or as part of routine practice.

References

- 1. Thornton GF, Andriole VT. Bacteriuria during indwelling catheter drainage: II. Effect of a closed sterile draining system. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1970; **214**: 339.
- Kunin CM, McCormack RC. Prevention of catheter-induced urinary-tract infections by sterile closed drainage. New England Journal of Medicine 1966; 274: 1155–1161.
- 3. Gilliespie WA, Simpson RA, Jones JE, Nashef L, Teasdale C, Speller DC. Does the addition of disinfectant to urine drainage bags prevent infection in catheterised patients? *Lancet* 1983; **1**: 1037–1039.
- Wilson J, Taylor L, Cookson B, Glynn A. Preventing Hospital-Acquired Infection: Clinical Guidelines. London: Public Health Laboratory Service 1997; 25–29.
- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 169–174.
- 6. Wong ES, Hooton TM. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. American Journal of Infection Control 1983; **11(1)**: 28–36.
- Gillespie WA, Lennon GG, Linton KB, Slade N. Prevention of urinary infections in gynaecology. British Medical Journal 1964; 2: 423–425.
- 8. Garibaldi RA, Burke JP, Dickman ML, Smith CB. Factors predisposing to bacteriuria during indwelling urethral catheterisation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1974; **291**: 215–218.

Catheter Maintenance

- 9. Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management, 5th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 419.
- 10. Platt R, Murdock B, Polk BF, Rosner B. Reduction of mortality associated with nosocomial urinary tract infection. *Lancet* 1983; 1: 893–897.
- Saint S, Lipsky BA. Preventing catheter-related bacteriuria. Should we? Can we? How? Archives of Internal Medicine 1999; 159: 800-808.
- 12. Maizels M, Shaeffer AJ. Decreased incidence of bacteriuria associated with periodic instillations of hydrogen peroxide into the urethral catheter drainage bag. *Journal of Urology* 1980; **123**: 841–845.
- Sweet DE, Goodpasture HC, Holl K, Smart S, Alexander H, Hedari A. Evaluation of H₂O₂ prophylaxis of bacteriuria inpatients with long-term indwelling Foley catheters: a randomised controlled study. *Infection Control* 1985; 6: 263–266.
- Thompson RL, Haley CE, Searcy MA, Guenthner SM, Kaiser DL. Catheter-associated bacteriuria. Failure to reduce attack rates using periodic instillations of a disinfectant into urinary drainage systems. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1984; 251: 747–751.
- 15. Pratt RJ, Pellowe C, Loveday HP, Robinson N. epic phase 1: The Development of National Evidence-based Guidelines for Preventing Hospital-acquired Infections in England associated with the use of short-term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care: Technical Report. London: Thames Valley University; 2000; 86.

Appropriate maintenance minimises infections

• Meatal cleansing with antiseptic solutions is unnecessary.

Our systematic review ¹ considered six acceptable studies that compared meatal cleansing with a variety of antiseptic/antimicrobial agents or soap and water. No reduction was demonstrated in bacteriuria when using any of these preparations for meatal care compared with routine bathing or showering.^{2–7}

Expert opinion^{8–10} and another systematic review¹¹ support the view that vigorous meatal cleansing is not necessary and may increase the risk of infection and that daily routine bathing or showering is all that is needed to maintain meatal hygiene.

17. Routine personal hygiene is all that is needed to maintain meatal hygiene. Category 1

- 1. Pratt RJ, Pellowe C, Loveday HP, Robinson N. epic phase 1: The Development of National Evidence-based Guidelines for Preventing Hospital-acquired Infections in England associated with the use of short-term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care: Technical Report. London: Thames Valley University; 2000. 86 p. Available from (Internet): http://www.epic.tvu.ac.uk
- Cleland V, Cox F, Berggren H, MacInnis MR. Prevention of bacteriuria in female patients with indwelling catheters. Nursing Research 1971; 20: 309–318.
- 3. Burke JP, Garibaldi RA, Britt MR. Prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Efficacy of daily meatal care regimens. *The American Journal of Medicine* 1981; **70**: 655–658.
- Burke JP, Jacobson JA, Garibaldi RA, Conti MT, Alling DW. Evaluation of daily meatal care with poly-antibiotic ointment in the prevention of urinary catheter-associated bacteriuria. *Journal of Urology* 1983; 129; 331–334.
- Classen DC, Larsen RA, Burke JP, Stevens LE. Prevention of catheter-associated bacteriuria: clinical trial of methods to block three known pathways of infection. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1991; 19: 136–142.
- 6. Classen DC, Larsen RA, Burke JP, Alling DW, Stevens LE. Daily meatal care for the prevention of catheter-associated bacteriuria: results using frequent applications of poly-antibiotic cream. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 1991; **12**: 157–162.
- 7. Huth TS, Burke JP, Larsen RA, Classen DC, Stevens LE. Randomized trial of meatal care with silver sulfadiazine cream for the prevention of catheter-associated bacteriuria. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1992; **165**: 14–18.
- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 171.
- 9. Wong ES, Hooton TM. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. American Journal of Infection Control 1983; 11(1): 28–36.
- 10. Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management, 5th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 249-250.
- Saint S, Lipsky BA. Preventing catheter-related bacteriuria. Should we? Can we? How? Archives of Internal Medicine 1999; 159: 800-808.

• Irrigation, instillation and washout do not prevent infection.

Systematic review evidence failed to demonstrate any beneficial effect of bladder irrigation, instillation or washout with a variety of antiseptic or antimicrobial agents in preventing catheter-associated infection.^{1–9}

Evidence from best practice supports the above and indicates that the introduction of such agents may have local toxic effects and contribute to the development of resistant microorganisms. However, continuous or intermittent bladder irrigation may be indicated during urological surgery or to manage catheter obstruction.¹⁰⁻¹⁴

18. Bladder irrigation, instillation and washout do not prevent catheter-associated infection.

Category 2

- 1. Pratt RJ, Pellowe C, Loveday HP, Robinson N. epic phase 1: The Development of National Evidence-based Guidelines for Preventing Hospital-acquired Infections in England associated with the use of short-term indwelling urethral catheters in acute care: Technical Report. London: Thames Valley University; 2000; 86.
- Davies AJ, Desai HN, Turton S, Dyas A. Does instillation of chlorhexidine into the bladder of catheterized geriatric patients help reduce bacteriuria? *Journal of Hospital Infection* 1987; 9: 72–75.
- Ball AJ, Carr TW, Gillespie WA, Kelly M, Simpson RA, Smith PJ. Bladder irrigation with chlorhexidine for the prevention of urinary infection after transurethral operations: a prospective controlled study. *Journal of Urology* 1987; 138: 491–494.
- Jones MA, Hasan A. Controlled trial of intravesical noxythiolin in the prevention of infection following outflow tract surgery. *British Journal of Urology* 1988; 62: 311–314.
- Schneeberger PM, Vreede RW, Bogdanowicz JF, van Dijk WC. A randomised study on the effect of bladder irrigation with povidone-iodine before removal of an indwelling catheter. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 1992; 21: 223–229.
- Warren JW, Platt R, Thomas RJ, Rosner B, Kass EH. Antibiotic irrigation and catheter-associated urinary-tract infections. New England Journal of Medicine 1978; 299: 570–573.
- Muncie HLJ, Hoopes JM, Damron DJ, Tenney JH, Warren JW. Once-daily irrigation of long-term urethral catheters with normal saline. Lack of benefit. Archives of Internal Medicine 1989; 149(2): 441–443.
- Kennedy AP, Brocklehurst JC, Robinson JM, Faragher EB. Assessment of the use of bladder washouts/instillations in patients with long-term indwelling catheters. *British Journal of Urology* 1992; 70(6): 610–615.
- 9. Cox F, Smith RF, Elliott JP, Quinn EL. Neomycin-polymyxin prophylaxis of urinary-tract infection associated with indwelling catheters. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1966; **6**: 165–168.
- Wong ES, Hooton TM. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. American Journal of Infection Control 1983; 11(1): 28–36.
- 11. Saint S, Lipsky BA. Preventing catheter-related bacteriuria. Should we? Can we? How? Archives of Internal Medicine 1999; 159: 800-808.
- Dieckhaus KD, Garibaldi RA. Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. In: Abrutytn E, Goldmann DA, Scheckler WE (eds). Saunders Infection Control Reference Service. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; 1998; 169–174.
- 13. Ward V, Wilson J, Taylor L, Cookson B, Glynn A. Preventing Hospital-Acquired Infection: Clinical Guidelines. London: Public Health Laboratory Service 1997; 25-29.
- 14. Kunin CM. Urinary Tract Infections: Detection, Prevention, and Management, 5th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1997; 251-253.

Glossary

Bacteraemia	Bacteria in the bloodstream.
Bacteriuria	The presence of bacteria in the urine with or without associated symptoms of infection. In the absence of symptoms this is referred to as <i>asymptomatic bacteriuria</i> or (in the case of a patient with an indwelling catheter) <i>catheter colonisation</i> .
Bladder instillation	Introducing a therapeutic liquid into the bladder and leaving it there for a variable 'holding' time to dissolve particulates/encrustation, alter pH, or suppress bacterial growth.
Bladder irrigation	The continuous flushing through a double lumen catheter or the filling and emptying of the bladder with fresh fluid to prevent the formation or retention of clots that would otherwise cause obstruction to catheter drainage.
Bladder washout	The introduction into the bladder of a sterile fluid which is allowed to drain more or less immedi- ately, for the purpose of diluting the bladder contents/unblocking an obstruction to restore free catheter drainage.
Catheter-associated infection	 The occurrence of local or systemic <i>clinical symptoms</i> or signs attributable to bacteria present either within the urinary tract, or in the bloodstream (with the urinary tract as the source). Infection may arise: either at the time of, or immediately following catheter insertion; or subsequently, because the colonising flora within the catheterised urinary tract becomes invasive (this may occur spontaneously, or follow catheter manipulation). NB. The presence of pus cells in the urine (pyuria) of a patient with an indwelling catheter does not, by itself, signify infection.
Short-term catheter	A catheter left in place for 1–7 days.
Medium-term catheters	A catheter left in place for 7–28 days, after which the temporary indication that prompted catheterisation in the first place no longer applies.
RCT Bandomised controlled trial	A clinical trial where at least two treatment groups are compared, one of them serving as the control group, and treatment allocation is carried out using a random, unbiased method